INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Tional Application No

A 21 1 2 2		. 4520	0-17 0000012				
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C01B17/04 B01D53/86							
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC							
	SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 C01B							
	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that suc						
	ata base consulted during the International search (name of data base ternal, PAJ, WPI Data	and, where practical, search terms us	ed)				
	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relev	/ant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
X	US 4 798 716 A (PALM ET AL) 17 January 1989 (1989-01-17) cited in the application * claims; figure; column 5, lines column 8, line 39- column 9, 43 *	13-17;	1,4				
Х	EP 1 166 850 A (THE BOC GROUP PLC 2 January 2002 (2002-01-02) claims; figure 3	1					
A	EP 1 186 334 A (THE BOC GROUP PLC 13 March 2002 (2002-03-13) paragraph '0045!; claims	1-12					
А	EP 0 565 316 A (THE BOC GROUP PLC 13 October 1993 (1993-10-13) cited in the application)					
Furl	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are list	ed in annex.				
• Special categories of cited documents : • T* later document published after the international filling date							
*A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E' earlier document but published on or after the international filling date. *X' document of particular relevance: the claimed invention.							
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the							
O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means O' document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. It is a priority date claimed I's document member of the same patent family							
	e actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international					
2	21 April 2005	27/04/2005					
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Authorized officer							
	Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Zalm, W					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

al Application No 32004/003032

Pate	ent document		Publication		Patent family		Publication
	In search report	<u> </u>	date		member(s)		date
US ·	4798716 	Α	17-01-1989	US	4849203	Α	18-07-1989
EP	1166850	Α	02-01-2002	EP	1166850		02-01-2002
				US	2002021993	A1	21-02-2002
EP	1186334	Α	13-03-2002	AU	777024	B2	30-09-2004
				UΑ	5801301	Α	07-03-2002
				BR	0103441	Α	02-04-2002
				CA	2354305	A1	28-02-2002
				CN	1340603		20-03-2002
				EP	1186334	A1	13-03-2002
				JP	2002145605		22-05-2002
				US	2002025292		28-02-2002
				ZA	200106651	Α	22-08-2002
EP	0565316	Α	13-10-1993	AT	223252		15-09-2002
				AU	3546293		11-11-1993
				BR	9301445		13-10-1993
				CA	2093390		07-10-1993
				CN	1086459		11-05-1994
				DE	69332260		10-10-2002
				DE	69332260		15-05-2003
				EP	0565316		13-10-1993
				JP	3434313		04-08-2003
				JP	6087605		29-03-1994
				NZ	247336		28-08-1995
				US	5468458		21 -11-1995
				ZA	9302409	Α	22-10-1993

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	9	SEP	2005
WIPO	_	_		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference M03B180/MW	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON s	see Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/GB2004/003032	International filing date (day) 13.07,2004	fmonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.07.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no C01B17/04	I ational classification and IPC						
Applicant THE BOC GROUP PLC							
This report is the international pre- Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination repor nsmitted to the applicant ac	t, established by this ecording to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining .				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this	cover sheet.	•				
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:						
a. \square sent to the applicant and							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following iter	ms:					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion						
☐ Box No. II Priority	•						
☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard	rd to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity		·					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
☐ Box No. VI Certain docu	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defec							
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
31.01.2005		19.09.2005					
Name and mailing address of the internal preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer					
European Patent Office - NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pa Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx	ys Bas .	Zalm, W	3. spans				
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	,	Telephone No. +31 70 340-					

NTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003032

	Box N		Basis of the report
1.	With re	egard Inless	to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.
	W	hich	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, s the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		l put I inte	rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) Ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	hava	hoon	d to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
	Desci	riptio	n, Pages
	1-22		as originally filed
•	Claim	ıs, Nı	umbers
	1-17		as originally filed
	Draw	rings,	Sheets
	1/4-4	/4	as originally filed
		a se	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
	з. 🗆	The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
			ne description, pages ne claims, Nos.
		□ tl	ne drawings, sheets/figs
			he sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	4. 🗆 had Sup	l not oplen	s report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the nental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			the description, pages the claims, Nos.
			the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : any table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :
	*	Ιf	item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003032

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

 Novelty (N)
 Yes: Claims No: Claims
 13-17 No: Claims

 Inventive step (IS)
 Yes: Claims 13-17 No: Claims 1-12

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-17
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2004/003032

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 4798716 A (PALM ET AL) 17 January 1989 cited in the application

D2: EP 0 565 316 A (THE BOC GROUP PLC) 13 October 1993 cited in the application

2. Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 4 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Prior art document D1 (cited at page 1 of the description of the present application) discloses a Claus process wherein the tail gas is recycled to the burner furnace or to a Claus-catalyst stage. The recycle stream may contain either sulfur dioxide or hydrogen sulfide as single sulfur containing component. In case of hydrogen sulfide as component the recycle stream can be obtained making use of a reducing agent (line 49 of the figure). This is however not a compulsory feature of the process (see column 9, lines 38-40). The process of claim 1,4 of the application under consideration wherein the recycle stream is passed to the upstream catalytic stage is known from D1 and considered not new.

Process claim 1 of the present application includes the embodiment that the excess first portion can be passed to the Claus furnace remote from the combustion region (see step l): 'or' in line 24).

D2 teaches a process for the removal of sulfur from waste gas streams by the Claus process. After the burner (after sulfur removal) part of the gas stream is reduced with hydrogen in order to obtain a gas stream without sulfur dioxide. Water is subsequently removed and the stream is recycled to the burner. As goes from column 12, lines 29-33 the point of entry can be the furnace positioned directly after the burner in stead of the burner feed. This feature of claim 1 thus lacks novelty too.

3. Inventive step

The process according to claim 1 whereby both measures are applied appears an obvious

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003032

combination of the teachings of D1 and D2 and thus is regarded not to meet the requirement for the presence of an inventive step (Art 33(3) PCT).

4. The process as defined by independent process **claim 13** whereby the recycle stream is <u>introduced into the intermediate region</u> of the first catalytic stage is not known from prior art nor derivable therefrom in an obvious way and appears to meet the requirement for patentability.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because **claims 1 and 13** are not clear.

(i) The expression 'reduced' in step j) of claim 1 should be used in the meaning of 'sulfur dioxide content reduced', since it refers to a reduced <u>content</u> and not to a chemically reduced gas stream. In case the applicants are of the opinion that the method of reduction is always performed by reduction with the reducing gas hydrogen, this should be made clear by addition thereof to step i). In that case it appears that deleting the word 'content' from step i) than would overcome the objection. The description should be adapted thereto.

A similar objection (and solution therefore in order to overcome) is regarded to exist with regard to independent process claim 13.

(ii) Claim 13 includes the option that the whole flow coming from the catalytic stage is recycled. It appears that at least some gas should be taken out of the stream at any point of the cycle in order to meet the requirement of mass balance in the system. Deletion of the words 'at least' (line 16) S would solve the problem.